

Record of proceedings dated 14.12.2023

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 72 of 2022	M/s. Sunshakti Solar Power Projects Private Limited	TSNPDCL & its officer

Petition filed seeking extension of SCOD and consequential reliefs.

Sri. P. Srikanth. Advocate representing Sri. P. Soma Shekar Reddy, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The advocate representing the counsel for petitioner stated that the similar matter in which appeal has been filed before the Hon'ble ATE is under active consideration and it is expected to be disposed of shortly. The representative of the respondents stated that the matter can be heard and disposed of and if the petitioner is aggrieved by the order, appeal can be preferred in this matter also. However, as the matter is pending before the Hon'ble ATE without being specifically set for hearing date, as the advocate representing the counsel for petitioner has sought adjournment in the matter. In view of the same, the matter may be adjourned to a longer date. In view of the above submission, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 04.04.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 74 of 2022	M/s. Dinkar Technologies Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking extension of SCOD and consequential reliefs.

Sri. P. Srikanth. Advocate representing Sri. P. Soma Shekar Reddy, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The advocate representing the counsel for petitioner stated that the similar matter in which appeal has been filed before the Hon'ble ATE is under active consideration and it is expected to be disposed of shortly. The representative of the respondents stated that the matter can be heard and disposed of and if the petitioner is aggrieved by the order, appeal can be preferred in this matter also. However, as the matter is pending before the Hon'ble ATE without being specifically set for hearing date, as the advocate representing the counsel for petitioner has sought adjournment in the

matter. In view of the same, the matter may be adjourned to a longer date. In view of the above submission, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 04.04.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 17 of 2023	Garrison Engineer (I) R&D	TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking orders for handing over of connected assets to MES (Deemed licensee) created from defence funds at RCI, Hyderabad to establish direct grid connectivity being deemed licensee to enable MES to operate as deemed distribution licensee.

Sri Vimal Kumar Bendwal, AE (E / M) for the petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The representative of the petitioner sought time for filing rejoinder as also taking further instructions from the senior officers on the need to engage an advocate. The representative of the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 11.01.2024 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 20 of 2023	M/s. Sarda Metals & Alloys Limited	TSDISCOMs

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondents to pay the surcharge on delayed payments of regular power supply bills and backdown compensation amount along with interest.

Sri. Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, counsel for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present.

The counsel for petitioner stated that he has received counter affidavit in respondent of TSSPDCL, but has not received the counter affidavit filed by the TSNPDCL. Also, he is required to file rejoinder to the counter affidavit of TSSPDCL. In view of the submission of the counsel for petitioner, the representative of the respondents has been directed to file counter affidavit in respect of the other DISCOM and the

petitioner is required to file rejoinder thereafter by the next date of hearing.
Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 11.01.2024 at 11.30 A. M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 23 of 2023	M/s. DRES Energy Private Limited	TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondents to grant LTOA for (19) years to its 7.1 MW solar power project located at Bahadurpally (v), Quthubullapur Mandal, Medchal District and to settle the energy injected into the grid for the period from 26.04.2023 to 05.05.2023 and consequential reliefs.

Sri. Deepak Chowdari, Advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petitioner is yet to file the rejoinder in the matter and he needs time to file the same. The representative of the respondents has no objection. In these circumstances, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 11.01.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 24 of 2023	M/s. DRES Energy Private Limited	TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondents to grant LTOA for (19) years to its 8 MW solar power project located at Lingampally (v), Muniapally Mandal, Medak District and to settle the energy injected into the grid for the period from 09.05.2023 to 16.05.2023 and consequential reliefs.

Sri. Deepak Chowdari, Advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petitioner is yet to file the rejoinder in the matter and he needs time to file the same.

The representative of the respondents has no objection. In these circumstances, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 11.01.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/- Member	Sd/- Member	Sd/- Chairman
Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 27 of 2023	M/s. Bhagyanagar India Limited	TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondents to grant LTOA from 31.03.2023 as well as to settle the energy injected into the grid for the period from 31.03.2023 to 14.06.2023 and other reliefs.

Sri. Deepak Chowdari, Advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that he is filing rejoinder to the counter affidavits of the respondents today. Therefore, he sought adjournment for making submissions in the matter. The representative of the respondents stated that the rejoinders being filed by the petitioner are received today only and therefore, the matter may be adjourned for hearing to any other date. In these circumstances, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 11.01.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/- Member	Sd/- Member	Sd/- Chairman
Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 29 of 2023 & I. A. No. 7 of 2023	M/s. Zuari Cement Limited	TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL along with its officer

Petition filed questioning the levy of penalty towards reverse power relay mechanism and surcharge thereof for the period from 11.09.2007 to 18.10.2023 and other consequential reliefs.

I. A. filed seeking interim stay with respect to the demand of surcharge made by the respondent No. 3 vide letter dated 19.10.2023 on the demand of penalty for excess drawl of power pending disposal of the original petition.

Sri. Deepak Chowdari, Advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition is filed questioning the claim of penalty towards demand charges and interest thereof with a threat to disconnect the power supply to the petitioner if not

paid the same. The petitioner has also filed an interlocutory application seeking stay of the said demand.

Since there is a threat of disconnection of power supply to the petitioner, the petitioner has approached the Hon'ble High Court. The Hon'ble High Court in W. P. No. 31189 of 2023 had safeguarded the interest of the petitioner by requiring it to deposit 1/4th of the amount claimed towards interest and not to disconnect the power supply thereof for a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of the order. Now, the petitioner had already deposited the amount as directed by the Hon'ble High Court.

The representative of the respondents stated that the matter is coming up for hearing for the first time. He needs time for filing counter affidavit. Also the interim order passed by the Hon'ble High Court needs to be confirmed including instructions thereof. The counsel for petitioner has insisted on the interim orders in the matter.

The Commission, having considered the arguments of the counsel for petitioner and the request of the representative of the respondents, has reserved orders on the interlocutory application. The main petition stands adjourned. The main matter will be finally heard on the said date by which time the pleadings have to be completed without fail.

Call on 11.01.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 30 of 2023	M/s. Polepally Solar Parks Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking implementation of the order dated 08.08.2022 passed in O. P. No. 14 of 2022 and issuance of appropriate directions to the respondent for non-compliance of the said order.

Sri. Aditya K. Singh, Advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition is filed under section 142 of the Act, 2003 for implementation of the order of the Commission. The Commission had, in its order dated 08.08.2022, required the respondent to implement the order within 45 days from the date of receipt of the

order. However, till date the interest component is not being paid by the respondent to the petitioner. The counsel for petitioner stated that the respondent should have forthwith come with implementation of the order. The representative of the respondent stated that the matter is coming up for hearing for the first time and he would like to have instructions in the matter and then submit the views of the respondent. Considering the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned with a specific understanding that it will be taken up for hearing on the next date and by that date all the pleadings should be completed without fail.

Call on 11.01.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 31 of 2023	M/s. Mahabubnagar Solar Parks Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking implementation of the order dated 08.08.2022 passed in O. P. No. 12 of 2022 and issuance of appropriate directions to the respondent for non-compliance of the said order.

Sri. Aditya K. Singh, Advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition is filed under section 142 of the Act, 2003 for implementation of the order of the Commission. The Commission had, in its order dated 08.08.2022, required the respondent to implement the order within 45 days from the date of receipt of the order. However, till date the interest component is not being paid by the respondent to the petitioner. The counsel for petitioner stated that the respondent should have forthwith come with implementation of the order. The representative of the respondent stated that the matter is coming up for hearing for the first time and he would like to have instructions in the matter and then submit the views of the respondent. Considering the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned with a specific understanding that it will be taken up for hearing on the next date and by that date all the pleadings should be completed without fail.

Call on 11.01.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 32 of 2023	M/s. Winsol Solar Fields (Polepally) Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking implementation of the order dated 08.08.2022 passed in O. P. No. 15 of 2022 and issuance of appropriate directions to the respondent for non-compliance of the said order.

Sri. Aditya K. Singh, Advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition is filed under section 142 of the Act, 2003 for implementation of the order of the Commission. The Commission had, in its order dated 08.08.2022, required the respondent to implement the order within 45 days from the date of receipt of the order. However, till date the interest component is not being paid by the respondent to the petitioner. The counsel for petitioner stated that the respondent should have forthwith come with implementation of the order. The representative of the respondent stated that the matter is coming up for hearing for the first time and he would like to have instructions in the matter and then submit the views of the respondent. Considering the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned with a specific understanding that it will be taken up for hearing on the next date and by that date all the pleadings should be completed without fail.

Call on 11.01.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 33 of 2023	M/s. Winsol Solar Fields (Polepally) Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking implementation of the order dated 08.08.2022 passed in O. P. No. 11 of 2022 and issuance of appropriate directions to the respondent for non-compliance of the said order.

Sri. Aditya K. Singh, Advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition is filed under section 142 of the Act, 2003 for implementation of the order of the Commission. The Commission had, in its order dated 08.08.2022, required the respondent to implement the order within 45 days from the date of receipt of the order. However, till date the interest component is not being paid by the respondent

to the petitioner. The counsel for petitioner stated that the respondent should have forthwith come with implementation of the order. The representative of the respondent stated that the matter is coming up for hearing for the first time and he would like to have instructions in the matter and then submit the views of the respondent. Considering the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned with a specific understanding that it will be taken up for hearing on the next date and by that date all the pleadings should be completed without fail.

Call on 11.01.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 34 of 2023	M/s. Winsol Solar Fields (Polepally) Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking implementation of the order dated 08.08.2022 passed in O. P. No. 13 of 2022 and issuance of appropriate directions to the respondent for non-compliance of the said order.

Sri. Aditya K. Singh, Advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition is filed under section 142 of the Act, 2003 for implementation of the order of the Commission. The Commission had, in its order dated 08.08.2022, required the respondent to implement the order within 45 days from the date of receipt of the order. However, till date the interest component is not being paid by the respondent to the petitioner. The counsel for petitioner stated that the respondent should have forthwith come with implementation of the order. The representative of the respondent stated that the matter is coming up for hearing for the first time and he would like to have instructions in the matter and then submit the views of the respondent. Considering the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned with a specific understanding that it will be taken up for hearing on the next date and by that date all the pleadings should be completed without fail.

Call on 11.01.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. (SR) No. 107 of 2023 & I. A. (SR) No.108 of 2023 & I. A. (SR) No. 109 of 2023	M/s. Kakatiya Cement Sugar & Industries Limited	TSNPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition filed questioning the recovery of grid support charges and other reliefs.

I. A. seeking interim direction to the respondent not to take any coercive steps against the petitioner in pursuance to the notice dt.11.02.2021 including recovery of the grid support charges for the period from FY 2002-03 to FY 2008-09 along with interest from the power purchase bills due and payable by respondent No. 2 to the petitioner.

I. A. seeking interim direction to the respondent not to insist upon payment of the grid support charges for the period from FY 2002-03 to FY 2008-09 along with interest as demanded vide notice dated 07.01.2021 issued by the respondent No. 1 and consequently not to reflect the demanded amount as arrears in relation to the petitioner.

Sri. Vikram Pooserla, Advocate along with Ms. Achala Siri, counsel for petitioner is present. The advocate submitted that the matter is filed with regard to claims made by the respondents towards grid support charge. It is not known why the matter is put on maintainability. Even otherwise, the petitioner is neither questioning the authority of the Commission nor the authority of the respondents to claim the same. The limited issue that is raised in the petition is with regard to claims made beyond the limitation period for the amounts purportedly due by the petitioner. Further alleging that the amounts are due, the power purchase agreement is not being entered into by the respondents.

The petitioner has also filed interlocutory applications more particularly seeking directions that the respondents should proceed to enter into PPA dehorse the dues allegedly claimed by the respondents. In both the I. As. the petitioner is seeking that there should be stay of collection and the same should not be insisted pending disposal of the original petition.

The Commission having heard the submissions of the advocate for the petitioner, has reserved the matter on the maintainability as well as passing of necessary interim orders.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. (SR) No. 111 of 2023 & I. A. (SR) No.110 of 2023 & I. A. (SR) No. 112 of 2023	M/s. Kakatiya Cement Sugar & Industries Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed questioning the recovery of wheeling charges and consequential reliefs.

I. A. filed seeking interim direction to the respondent not to take any coercive steps against the petitioner including disconnection of HT service connection No. SPT 427 belonging to the petitioner in pursuance to the notice dt.107.07.2023 issued by the respondent pending disposal of the main O. P.

I. A. filed seeking interim direction to the respondent not to insist upon payment of the balance differential wheeling charges as demanded against the petitioner vide notice dated 07.07.2023 issued by the respondent and consequently not to reflect the demanded amount as arrears in relation to the petitioner pending disposal of the main O. P.

Sri. Vikram Pooserla, Advocate along with Ms. Achala Siri, counsel for petitioner is present. The advocate submitted that the matter is filed with regard to claims made by the respondents towards wheeling charges. It is not known why the matter is put on maintainability. Even otherwise, the petitioner is neither questioning the authority of the Commission nor the authority of the respondent to claim the same. The limited issue that is raised in the petition is with regard to claims made beyond the limitation period for the amounts purportedly due by the petitioner. Further alleging that the amounts are due, the power purchase agreement is not being entered into by the respondent.

The petitioner has also filed interlocutory applications more particularly seeking directions that the respondent should proceed to enter into PPA dehorse the dues allegedly claimed by the respondent. In both the I. As. the petitioner is seeking that there should be stay of collection and the same should not be insisted pending disposal of the original petition.

The Commission, having heard the submissions of the advocate for the petitioner, has reserved the matter on the maintainability as well as passing of necessary interim orders.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman